

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CAPITAL OUTLAY  
BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)  
COVER PAGE (REV 06/15)

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
915 L Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

BUSINESS UNIT: 8940 COBCP NO. 6 PRIORITY: 6 PROJECT ID: 0000705  
(7 digits; for new projects, leave blank)

DEPARTMENT: California Military Department

PROJECT TITLE: Advance Plans and Studies

TOTAL REQUEST (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS): \$300 MAJOR/MINOR: MA

PHASE(S) TO BE FUNDED: S PROJ CAT: CID CCCI/EPI: 6055

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

The California Military Department (CMD) seeks \$300,000 (\$150,000 General Fund and \$150,000 matching federal funds) for Architect-Engineering services to conduct design studies and programming charrettes for future capital projects. The Architect-Engineering services will allow CMD to develop conceptual designs and validated cost estimates for future projects.

HAS A BUDGET PACKAGE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS PROJECT? (E/U/N/?): N

REQUIRES LEGISLATION (Y/N): N IF YES, LIST CODE SECTIONS: \_\_\_\_\_


REQUIRES PROVISIONAL LANGUAGE (Y/N): N


IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET: ONE-TIME COSTS (Y/N): N FUTURE COSTS (Y/N): N

FUTURE SAVINGS (Y/N): Y REVENUE (Y/N): N

DOES THE PROPOSAL AFFECT ANOTHER DEPARTMENT (Y/N): N IF YES, ATTACH  
COMMENTS OF AFFECTED DEPARTMENT SIGNED BY ITS DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE.

SIGNATURE APPROVALS:

  
PREPARED BY MAJ Edward Landrith August 3, 2015  
DATE

  
REVIEWED BY COL Darrin Bender August 3, 2015  
DATE

  
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR COL Robert Spano August 3, 2015  
DATE

August 3, 2015  
AGENCY SECRETARY DATE

\*\*\*\*\*

DOF ANALYST USE

DOF ISSUE # \_\_\_\_\_ PROGRAM CAT: \_\_\_\_\_ PROJECT CAT: \_\_\_\_\_ BUDG PACK STATUS: \_\_\_\_\_  
ADDED REVIEW: SUPPORT: \_\_\_\_\_ OCIO: \_\_\_\_\_ FSCU/ITCU: \_\_\_\_\_ OSAE: \_\_\_\_\_ CALSTARS: \_\_\_\_\_

PPBA: Original Signed By:  
Sally Lukenbill

DATE SUBMITTED TO LEGISLATURE: 1-7-2016

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CAPITAL OUTLAY  
BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)  
NARRATIVE PAGE (REV 07/15)**

**DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
915 L Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
IMS Mail Code: A15**

**BUDGET YEAR 2016-17**

BUSINESS UNIT: 8940 COBCP NO. 6 PRIORITY: 6 PROJECT ID: 0000705  
(7 digits; for new projects, leave blank)

**A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT:**

The Budget Act of 2001, Chapter 190, Statutes of 2001 provided CMD the authority to have capital outlay projects managed by the Army Corps of Engineers or other authorized representatives of the US Government instead of the Department of General Services (DGS). For Army Corps managed projects, a design charrette is used rather than a budget package. The cost of each charrette is about twice that of a DGS Budget Package as the Army Corps advance planning process is more extensive. It includes a three-to-five-day user input session, detailed space analysis, and validation of each part of the proposal.

Design charrettes include face-to-face meetings between CMD and the Architect-Engineering design firm leading to the development of a conceptual design and detailed cost estimate for each project. Charrettes also produce a detailed space analysis and validation of the project's federal programming documents. The funding requested in this COBCP will be utilized for conceptual designs and detailed cost estimates for three armory renovation projects that will be proposed for funding next year.

**B. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN:**

This request directly supports CMD Strategic Plan "Ready Relevant Force" (Priority 2) and "Quality Infrastructure" (Priority 3). CMD will be better able to provide quality installations for the men and women of the California Army National Guard to use for training, staging, and deployment, enhancing their ability to perform assigned state and federal missions and to provide trained and ready forces for the state.

**C. ALTERNATIVES**

Alternative 1: Approve the request for \$300,000 for Advance Plans and Studies, allowing the department to develop design charrettes to define the scope of future projects and to validate cost estimates for future construction and renovation projects.

Alternative 2: Rely solely on federal funds. Federal funding for charrettes is only authorized for projects at federally-owned installations and would not include any of the state-owned National Guard Armories.

Alternative 3: Deny this request. Without charrettes, CMD will only have access to generic scoping criteria found in National Guard Pamphlet 415-12, Army National Guard Facilities Allowances, and Department of Defense (DoD) Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-701-01, DoD Facilities Pricing Guide, to develop project budgets. DoD cost factors are normalized for average construction costs across the U.S. and often underestimate the cost of construction in California.

#### D. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION:

1. Which alternative and why?

CMD recommends Alternative 1, Approve COBCP as proposed, to fund design charrettes for future construction or renovation projects to allow CMD to better scope projects and estimate costs before submitting COBCPs.

2. Detail scope description.

Funds for advance plans and studies allow for the completion of plans for projects under CMD's existing sustainment, restoration, modernization program, as well as minor capital outlay projects that occur outside of CMD's scheduled maintenance program, including storm or earthquake damage, unforeseen structural degradation, or safety concerns discovered during annual inspections. In addition, advance plans and studies funding allows CMD to prepare plans, cost estimates, scope of work, and environmental documentation for unfunded capital projects, providing the department with shovel-ready projects that can be quickly contracted if additional federal funding becomes available.

3. Basis for cost information.

Costing is based on Unit Cost Tables for Department of Defense Facilities using Area Cost Factors, Size Adjustment Factors and Office of the Secretary of Defense Inflation Rates, Change 1 June 2011 (*UFC 3-701-01*).

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

The benefit of this alternative over the least expensive option is the ability of CMD to develop better planning criteria and more accurate budgets for future projects, reducing the risk of projects being underfunded or overfunded.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

There are no expected direct impacts on the support budget caused by this proposal.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

N/A, CMD does not foresee any significant risks with this project.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

N/A

#### E. CONSISTENCY WITH GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65041.1:

1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how?

Yes, future planning will ensure infill development is a principle planning goal.

2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes, planning will ensure environmental protection is a principle goal and will ensure required environmental actions are accurately scoped and included in project estimates.

3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes, future planning will ensure efficient development is a primary goal.

**Budget Year 2016-17**

## Proj ID:

00000705

BU/Entity:

8940

Program ID

6950

COBCP #:

---

6

Priority:

---

6

MA/MI:

MA

	Existing Authority	January 10 Action	April 1 Action	May 1 Action	May 14 Action	Special Action	Project Total
<b>FUNDING</b>							
bu-ref-fund-eny-year      ph      action							
8940-301-0001-15-16      S      BA		150					150
8940-801-0895-15-16      S      BA		150					150
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
							0
TOTAL FUNDING	0	300	0	0	0	0	300
<b>PROJECT COSTS</b>							
Study		300					300
Acquisition							0
Performance Criteria							0
Preliminary Plans							0
Working Drawings							0
Total Construction or Design-Build	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equipment (Group 2)		0					0
TOTAL COSTS	0	300	0	0	0	0	300
<b>CONSTRUCTION OR DESIGN-BUILD DETAIL</b>							
Contract		0					0
Contingency		0					0
A&E		0					0
Agency Retained							0
Other							0
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION OR DESIGN-BUILD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FUTURE FUNDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SCHEDULE</b>		<b>mm/dd/yyyy</b>		<b>PROJECT SPECIFIC CODES</b>			
Study Completion	6/1/2015	Proj Mgmt:	G	Location:	Sacramento		
Acquisition Approval		Budg Pack:	N	County:	Sacramento		
Start Preliminary Plans/Performance Criteria	7/1/2016	Proj Cat:	CID	City:	Sacramento		
Preliminary Plan/Performance Criteria Approval	3/1/2017	Req Legls:	N	Cong Dist:	3		
Approval to Proceed to Bid	3/1/2017	Req Prov:	N	Sen Dist:	6		
Contract Award Approval	5/1/2017	SO/LA Imp:	N	Assm Dist:	9		
Project Completion	6/30/2018						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		Budget Year 2016-17	
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)		Proj ID:	00000705
FISCAL DETAIL WORKSHEET		BU/Entity:	8940
Department Title:	CALIFORNIA MILITARY DEPARTMENT	Program ID	6950
Project Title:	ADVANCE PLANNING STUDIES	COBCP #:	6
Program Category:	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIENCIES (CID)	Priority:	6
Program Subcategory:		MA/MI:	MA
<i>Identify all items which fit into the categories listed below. Attach a detailed list if funding is included in this request. Provide descriptions and summary estimates for items for which you plan to request funding in the future. When possible, identify funding needs by fiscal year (BY+1 through BY+4).</i>			
<b>PROJECT RELATED COSTS</b>		<b>COST</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
AGENCY RETAINED:			
None			
TOTAL AGENCY RETAINED			0
GROUP 2 EQUIPMENT			
None			
TOTAL GROUP2 EQUIPMENT			0
<b>IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET</b>		<b>COST</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ONE-TIME COSTS			
None			
TOTAL SUPPORT ONE-TIME COSTS			0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE COSTS			
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL COSTS			0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE SAVINGS			
None			
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL SAVINGS			0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE REVENUE			
None			
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL REVENUE			0

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		Budget Year 2016-17	
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)		Proj ID:	00000705
SCOPE/ASSUMPTIONS WORKSHEET		BU/Entity:	8940
Department Title:	CALIFORNIA MILITARY DEPARTMENT	Program ID	6950
Project Title:	ADVANCE PLANNING STUDIES	COBCP #:	6
Program Category:	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIENCIES (CID)	Priority:	6
Program Subcategory:		MA/MI:	MA
<p><b>Project Specific Proposals:</b> For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope language. Enter Scope language in cell A110.</p>			
<p><b>Conceptual Proposals:</b> Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in relation to outstanding need identified for that fiscal year. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 in cell A110).</p>			
<p>Advanced studies to provide detailed independent analysis of specific facilities requiring upgrades and renovation in support of the CA Military Department Sustainable Armory Renovation Program (SARP).</p>			